

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,569	11/06/2003	Carolus Josephus Antonius Maria Schrauwers	903-87	8717
23869 7	7590 08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP			EVANISKO, LESLIE J	
6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE SYOSSET, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
510bbli, it	1 11//1		2854	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	· · ·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/702,569	SCHRAUWERS, CA JOSEPHUS ANTON				
omoo nodon odminal y	Examiner	Art Unit	nac!			
	Leslie J. Evanisko	2854	······································			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 I	November 2003.					
· _ ·	s action is non-final.					
,=	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 November 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	D-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documer						
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		ed in this National S	Stage			
application from the International Burea	•	<u>.</u>				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Amorton (M.)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-	-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/06/2003</u> .	6) Other:					

EX-PARTE QUAYLE ACTION

1. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "41" has been used to designate both the movement line (page 7, line 1) and the outer race of the annular bearing (page 7, paragraph [0020]).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims.

Therefore, the spring of claim 4 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,569

Art Unit: 2854

5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: carrying tube **16** in paragraph [0016] on page 6.

Page 4

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The abstract is not a single paragraph and additionally, the reference to Figure 1 at the bottom of the abstract should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 7. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Art Unit: 2854

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

8. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specific reference to claim 1 on pages 1 and 3 should be deleted from the specification since it is possible that the claims may be renumbered by the Examiner during prosecution of the application and therefore, the reference to specific claim numbers may become inaccurate and lead to confusion.

Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

Claim Objections

9. Claims 1-5 are objected to because of the following informalities:

With respect to claim 1, it is suggested that the term "it" in line 16 be deleted and replaced with the structure to which it is referring to provide more clear claim language.

Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,569 Page 6

Art Unit: 2854

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 1-5 are objected to for the reasons set forth above, but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the above objections to the satisfaction of the Examiner.

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a printing-cylinder support unit having all of the structure as recited, in combination with and particularly including, supporting means comprising at least three support elements for each axial end of a printing cylinder, one of the support elements comprising a support ring and suspension means as recited to interact with a running surface of a bearing ring connected to the end of the printing cylinder.

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Boehm (US 3,565,002), Van der Winden (US 3,892,176), Zimmer (US 3,986,451), and Schrauwers (WO 2004022339 A1) each teach a printing cylinder support unit having obvious similarities to the claimed subject matter.
- 13. Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Art Unit: 2854

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Leslie J. Evanisko** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-2161**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew H. Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jersie Evansko Leslie J. Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854

lje August 5, 2004